

TWO DIMENSIONAL COMPLEX KLEINIAN GROUPS WITH FOUR COMPLEX LINES IN GENERAL POSITION IN ITS LIMIT SET

W. BARRERA, A. CANO & J. P. NAVARRETE

ABSTRACT. In this article we provide an algebraic characterization of those groups of $PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ whose limit set in the Kulkarni sense has, exactly, four lines in general position. Also we show that, for this class of groups, the equicontinuity set of the group is the largest open set where the group acts discontinuously and agrees with the discontinuity set of the group.

INTRODUCTION

In a recent article, see [1], we have proven that for a complex Kleinian group without proper invariant subspaces and "enough" lines in the Kulkarni's limit set, it holds that its discontinuity set agrees with the equicontinuity set of the group, is the largest open set where the group acts discontinuously and is a holomorphy domain. Such result enable us to understand the relationship, in the two dimensional case and for a "large class" of groups, between the different notions of limit sets which are usually studied as well as its geometry, see [3]. This article is a step to extend the results in [1] to the case when the groups has invariant subspaces and "enough" lines in the limit set. More precisely we prove:

Theorem 0.1. *Let $\Gamma \subset PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ be a discrete group. The limit set, in the Kulkarni sense, of Γ has exactly four lines in general position if and only if Γ has a hyperbolic toral group, see section 2, whose index is at most 8.*

Theorem 0.2. *Let $\Gamma \subset PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ be a toral group. Thus the discontinuity set in the Kulkarni sense agrees with the equicontinuity region and is given by:*

$$\Omega(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1} \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_2},$$

where $\mathbb{H}^{+1}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{-1}$ are the upper half and lower half plane. Moreover $\Omega(\Gamma)$ is the largest open set on which Γ acts properly discontinuously.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32Q45, 37F45; Secondary 22E40, 57R30.

Key words and phrases. kleinian groups, projective complex plane, discrete groups, limit set.

Research partially supported by grants from CNPq.

This article is organized as follows: in section 1 we introduce some terms and notations which will be used along the text. In section 2 we construct some examples of groups with four lines in general position. Finally in section 3, we present the proof of theorem 0.1.

The authors are grateful to Professor José Seade for stimulating conversations. Part of this research was done while the authors were visiting the IMATE-UNAM campus Cuernavaca and the FMAT of the UADY. Also, during this time, the second author was in a postdoctoral year at IMPA, and they are grateful to these institutions and its people, for their support and hospitality.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

1.1. Projective Geometry. We recall that the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 := (\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*,$$

where $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ by the usual scalar multiplication. This is a compact connected complex 2-dimensional manifold. Let $[\] : \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be the quotient map. If $\beta = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$, we will write $[e_j] = e_j$ and if $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ then we will write $[w] = [w_1 : w_2 : w_3]$. Also, $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is said to be a complex line if $[\ell]^{-1} \cup \{0\}$ is a complex linear subspace of dimension 2. Given $p, q \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ distinct points, there is a unique complex line passing through p and q , such line will be denoted by $\overleftrightarrow{p, q}$.

Consider the action of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ (viewed as the cubic roots of the unity) on $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ given by the usual scalar multiplication, then

$$PSL(3, \mathbb{C}) = SL(3, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_3$$

is a Lie group whose elements are called projective transformations. Let $[[\]] : SL(3, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ be the quotient map, $\gamma \in PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in GL(3, \mathbb{C})$, we will say that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a lift of γ if there is a cubic root τ of $Det(\gamma)$ such that $[[\tau\tilde{\gamma}]] = \gamma$, also, we will use the notation (γ_{ij}) to denote elements in $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$. One can show that $PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ is a Lie group that acts transitively, effectively and by biholomorphisms on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ by $[[\gamma]]([w]) = [\gamma(w)]$, where $w \in \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gamma \in SL_3(\mathbb{C})$.

1.2. Complex Kleinian Groups. Let $\Gamma \subset PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ be a subgroup. We define (following Kulkarni, see [5]): the set $L_0(\Gamma)$ as the closure of the points in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with infinite isotropy group. The set $L_1(\Gamma)$ as the closure of the set of cluster points of Γz where z runs over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus L_0(\Gamma)$. Recall that q is a cluster point for ΓK , where $K \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is a non-empty set, if there is a sequence $(k_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$ and a sequence of distinct elements $(\gamma_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_m(k_m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} q$. The set $L_2(\Gamma)$ as the closure of cluster points of ΓK where

K runs over all the compact sets in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus (L_0(\Gamma) \cup L_1(\Gamma))$. The *Limit Set* in the sense of Kulkarni for Γ is defined as:

$$\Lambda(\Gamma) = L_0(\Gamma) \cup L_1(\Gamma) \cup L_2(\Gamma).$$

The *Discontinuity Region* in the sense of Kulkarni of Γ is defined as:

$$\Omega(\Gamma) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \Lambda(\Gamma).$$

We will say that Γ is a *Complex Kleinian Group* if $\Omega(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 1.1. (See [2]) Let $\Gamma \subset PSL_3(\mathbb{C})$ be a subgroup, $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that $\Gamma p = p$ and ℓ a complex line not containing p . Define $\Pi = \Pi_{p,\ell} : \Gamma \rightarrow Bihol(\ell)$ given by $\Pi(g)(x) = \pi(g(x))$ where $\pi = \pi_{p,\ell} : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 - \{p\} \rightarrow \ell$ is given by $\pi(x) = \overleftrightarrow{x,p} \cap \ell$, then:

- (i) π is a holomorphic function.
- (ii) Π is a group morphism.
- (iii) If $\text{Ker}(\Pi)$ is finite and $\Pi(\Gamma)$ is discrete, then Γ acts discontinuously on $\Omega = (\bigcup_{z \in \Omega(\Pi(\Gamma))} \overleftrightarrow{z,p}) - \{p\}$. Here $\Omega(\Pi(\Gamma))$ denotes the discontinuity set of $\Pi(\Gamma)$.
- (iv) If Γ is discrete, $\Pi(\Gamma)$ is non-discrete and ℓ is invariant, then Γ acts discontinuously on $\Omega = \bigcup_{z \in Eq(\Pi(\Gamma))} \overleftrightarrow{z,p} - (\ell \cup \{p\})$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\Sigma \subset PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ be a non discrete group, then:

- (i) The set $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus Eq(\Sigma)$ is either, empty, one points, two points, a circle or $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{C}$ is an invariant closet set which contains at least 2 points, then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus Eq(\Sigma) \subset \overline{\Sigma \mathcal{C}}$.
- (iii) The set $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus Eq(\Sigma)$ is the closure of the loxodromic fixed points.

1.3. Counting Lines.

Definition 1.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be a non-empty open set. Let us define:

- (i) The lines in general position outside Ω as:

$$LG(\Omega) = \left\{ \mathcal{L} \subset Gr_1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) \mid \text{The lines in } \mathcal{L} \text{ are in general position \& } \bigcup \mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \Omega \right\};$$

- (ii) The number of lines in general position outside Ω as:

$$LiG(\Omega) = \max(\{card(\mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{L} \in LG(\Omega)\}),$$

where $card(C)$ denotes the number of elements contained in C .

- (iii) Given $\mathcal{L} \in LG(\Omega)$ and $v \in \bigcup \mathcal{L}$, we will say that v is a vertex for $\mathcal{L}$ if there are $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ distinct lines and an infinite set $\mathcal{C} \subset Gr_1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ such that $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{C}) = \{v\}$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \Omega$.

Proposition 1.4. Let $\Gamma \subset PSL(3\mathbb{C})$ be a complex Kleinian group. If $LiG(\Omega(\Gamma)) = 4$, then for each $\mathcal{L} \in GL(\Omega)$ with $card(\mathcal{L}) = 4$, it falls out that:

- (i) The array of lines $\mathcal{L}$ contains exactly two vertexes;
- (ii) For every vertex v of $\mathcal{L}$, it follows that $Isot(v, \Gamma)$ is a subgroup of Γ with finite index.

2. TORAL GROUPS

Definition 2.1. Let $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, then A is said to be a Hyperbolic Toral Automorphism if none of the eigenvalues of A lies on the unit circle.

Theorem 2.2. Let $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be an hyperbolic toral automorphism then:

- (i) The eigenvalues of A are irrational numbers.
- (ii) It holds

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : A^n(x) - x \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}.$$

Definition 2.3. Set $S : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which is given by:

$$S(x) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : nx \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Also define $Per : SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$Per(A, x) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : B^n(x) - x \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Finally define $\phi : SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$\phi(B, x, l) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} B^j(x) & \text{if } l > 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } l = 0; \\ -\sum_{j=1}^l B^{-j}(x) & \text{if } l < 0. \end{cases}$$

The following straightforward lemmas will be usefull

Lemma 2.4. Let $B \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ and $r, s, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 < r, s < Per(B, \nu)$. If $l = KPer(B, \nu) + r$ and $K = \tilde{n}S(\nu) + t$, where $K, r, \tilde{n}, t \in \mathbb{N}$ are given by the division theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= -B^r(\phi(B, B^{-KPer(B, \nu)}(\nu) - \nu), l); \\ \delta_2 &= -B^{Per(B, \nu)-r}(\phi(B, B^{-Per(B, \nu)}(\nu) - \nu, l)); \\ \delta_3 &= S(\nu)B^{Per(B, \nu)-R}(\phi(B, \nu, l)); \\ \delta_4 &= \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \phi(B, B^{iPer(B, \nu)}(\nu) - \nu, Per(B, \nu)); \\ \delta_5 &= \phi(B, B^{KPer(B, \nu)}(\nu) - \nu, r); \\ \delta_6 &= \tilde{n}S(\nu)\phi(B, \nu, Per(B, \nu)); \\ \delta_7 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r + s < Per(B, \nu) \\ \phi(B, B^{Per(B, \nu)}(\nu) - \nu, r + s - Per(B, \nu)) & \text{D.O.F.} \end{cases} \\ \delta_8 &= \begin{cases} \sum_{j_r}^{r+s} B^j(\nu) & \text{if } r + s < Per(B, \nu) \\ \sum_{j_r}^{Per(B, \nu)-1} B^j(\nu) + \phi(B, \nu, r + s - Per(B, \nu)) & \text{D.O.F.} \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5, \delta_6, \delta_7 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(B, \nu, -l) &= \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + (S(\nu) - 1)B^{Per(B, \nu)-r}(\phi(B, \nu, l)); \\ (2.1) \quad \phi(B, \nu, l) &= \delta_4 + \delta_5 + \delta_6 + t\phi(B, \nu, Per(B, \nu)) + \phi(B, \nu, r); \\ B^r\phi(B, \nu, s + 1) &= \delta_7 + \delta_8 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $(a_m), (b_m) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be sequences, then:

- (i) If (a_m) and (b_m) diverges, then the accumulation points of

$$\{[a_m : b_m : 1] : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

lies on $\overleftrightarrow{e_1, e_2}$;

- (ii) If (a_m) converges and (b_m) diverges, then $[a_m : b_m : 1] \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} [e_2]$;
- (iii) If (a_m) diverges and (b_m) converges, then $[a_m : b_m : 1] \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} [e_1]$;
- (iv) If $k_m = [a_m : b_m : 1] \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} [z : 0 : 1]$, where $z \neq 0$, then there is a subsequence of (k_m) , denoted $(\tilde{k}_m = [\tilde{a}_m : \tilde{b}_m : 1])$, such that $(\tilde{a}_m)$ and $(\tilde{b}_m)$ are convergent.

Definition 2.6. Let $A, B \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, $b \in M(1 \times 2, \mathbb{Z})$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus we define:

$$\langle k : l : b : \nu \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} A^k B^l & b + \phi(\nu, l) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Lemma 2.4, it follows easily

Corollary 2.7. Let $A, B \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, $b \in M(1 \times 2, \mathbb{Z})$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there are $m_0, \dots, m_{Per(B, \nu)-1} \in \{0, \dots, S(\nu) - 1\}$ and $B \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that:

$$\langle k : l : b : \nu \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} A^k B^l & B + \sum_{j=0}^{Per(B, \nu)-1} m_j B^j(\nu) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Proposition 2.8. Let $A, B \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be such that the group generated by A, B is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and each element in $\langle A, B \rangle \setminus \{Id\}$ is a hyperbolic toral automorphism, also let $\nu \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $A(\nu) - \nu \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\Gamma_{A, B, \nu} = \{\langle k : l : b : \nu \rangle \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in M(1 \times 2, \mathbb{Z})\}$$

is a complex Kleinian group. Moreover $\Omega(\Gamma_{A, B, \nu})$ is projectively equivalent to:

$$\bigcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_2}.$$

Proof. Let $a = \langle k_1 : l_1 : b_1 : \nu \rangle, b = \langle k_2 : l_2 : b_2 : \nu \rangle \in \Gamma_{A, B, \nu}$. Thus an easy calculation shows:

$$ab^{-1} = \langle k_1 - k_2 : l_1 - l_2 : b_1 + b_2 : \nu \rangle$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_3 &= -A^{k_1} B^{l_1} (A^{-k_2} B^{-l_2} (b_2) + B^{-l_2} \phi(A^{-k_2}(\nu) - \nu, l_2)); \\ b_4 &= B^{l_1} (\phi(A^{k_1}(\nu) - \nu, -l_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $\Gamma_{A, B, \nu}$ is a group.

Now, since $A, B \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ are commuting hyperbolic toral automorphism, it follows that there is $\hat{T} \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{T} A \hat{T}^{-1}, \hat{T} B \hat{T}^{-1}$ are diagonal matrices. Set

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; T A T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}; T B T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix};$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ and $\hat{T}(1, 0), \hat{T}(0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, given $b \in M(1 \times 2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and taking $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$, by Corollary 2.7 there are $m_0, \dots, m_{Per(B, \nu)-1} \in \{0, \dots, S(\nu) - 1\}$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad T \langle k : l : b : \nu \rangle T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^k \beta^m & 0 & \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i (b_i + \nu_i \sum_{j=0}^{Per_B(\nu)-1} m_j \beta^j) \\ 0 & \alpha^{-k} \beta^{-m} & \sum_{i=1}^2 y_i (b_i + \nu_i \sum_{j=0}^{Per_B(\nu)-1} m_j \beta^{-j}) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Claim 1. Let $z \neq 0$, if $[z : 0 : 1]$ lies on $L_1(T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1})$, then $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us assume that $Im(z) \neq 0$. Thus there are $w = [a : b : 1]$ and $(\gamma_m) \subset \Gamma_{A,B,\nu}$ a sequence of distinct elements in $\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}^{-1}$ such that $T\gamma_m T^{-1}(w) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} x$. From equation (2.2), it follows that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $n_m, k_m, b_{1m}, b_{2m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{l_j\}_{j=0}^{Per_B(\nu)-1} \in \{0, \dots, S(\nu) - 1\}$ such that $\gamma_m(w) = [a_m : b_m : 1]$ where

$$a_m = \alpha^{k_m} \beta^{n_m} a + \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i \left(b_{im} + \nu_i \sum_{j=0}^{Per_B(\nu)-1} l_j \beta^j \right);$$

$$b_m = \alpha^{-k_m} \beta^{-n_m} b + \sum_{i=1}^2 y_i \left(b_{im} + \nu_i \sum_{j=0}^{Per_B(\nu)-1} l_j \beta^{-j} \right).$$

By Lemma 2.5, we can assume that $a_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} z$ and $b_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Since $Im(a_m) = \alpha^{k_m} \beta^{n_m} Im(a) \rightarrow Im(z) \neq 0$. We conclude that (k_m) and (n_m) are eventually constant. In consequence we conclude that (p_{1m}) and (p_{2m}) are eventually constant. Thus (γ_m) is eventually constant. Which is contradiction.

Observe that by a similar argument, we can show the claim in the case $x = [0 : z : 1] \in L_1(T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1})$.

Now let $\gamma \in T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1}$ induced by the linear map:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then is straightforward to check that $\overleftarrow{e_1}, \overrightarrow{e_3} \cup \overleftarrow{e_2}, \overrightarrow{e_3} \subset \Lambda(T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1})$.

On the other hand, from equation (2.2), we conclude that $\ell_1 = \langle \{e_2, e_3\} \rangle$, $\ell_2 = \langle \{e_1, e_3\} \rangle$, e_1 and e_2 , are $T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1}$ -invariant. Thus, taking $\pi_i = \pi_{e_i, \ell_i}$ we can define $\Pi_i : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow Bihol(\ell_i)$. Thus, from Equation 2.2, we conclude that $\Pi_j(T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1})$ leaves e_k , $k \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{i\}$, and

$$[\{r\alpha_1 e_k + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}]$$

invariant, moreover, it contains loxodromic and parabolic elements. Thus Lemma 1.2, yields

$$\ell_j \setminus Eq(\Pi_j(T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1})) = [\{r\alpha_1 e_k + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}].$$

Thus a straightforward calculation shows

$$\overline{T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1}(\ell_1 \cup \ell_2)} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \{1,2\}} \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{R}(\ell_j)} \overleftarrow{\xi_j}, \overrightarrow{p} \subset \Lambda(T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1}).$$

Thus $\Omega = \bigcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_2}$ is an open $T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1}$ -invariant set, with $LiG(\Omega) = 4$. In consequence Theorem 3.5 in [1], yields $\Omega \subset Eq(T\Gamma_{A,B,\nu}T^{-1}) \subset \Omega(\Gamma)$. Which clearly concludes the proof. $\square$

By means of similar arguments the following proposition can be showed.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be an hyperbolic toral automorphism, then the following set is a discrete group of $PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$*

$$\Gamma_A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A^k & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in M(1 \times 2, \mathbb{Z}), k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Moreover $\Omega(\Gamma_{A,B,\nu})$ is projectively equivalent to $\bigcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_2}$.

Definition 2.10. A subgroup $\Gamma \subset PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ is said to be a *Hyperbolic Toral Group* if Γ is conjugated to the group described either in proposition 2.9 or the one in proposition 2.8.

3. FOUR LINES GROUPS

Trough this section $\Gamma \subset PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ is a complex Kleinian group with $LiG(\Omega(\Gamma)) = 4$, $\mathcal{L} \in LG(\Omega(\Gamma))$ with $card(\mathcal{L}) = 4$, the vertex of $\mathcal{L}$ are $e_1, e_2, \ell_1 = \overleftarrow{\xi_2}, \overrightarrow{e_3}, \ell_2 = \overleftarrow{\xi_1}, \overrightarrow{e_3}$, $\Gamma_0 = Stab(e_1, \Gamma) \cap Stab(e_2, \Gamma)$, $\pi_i = \pi_{e_i, \ell_i}$ and $\Pi_i = \Pi_{e_i, \ell_i}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Either $\Pi_1(\Gamma_0)$ or $\Pi_2(\Gamma_0)$ contains loxodromic elements.*

Proof. On the contrary, let us assume that $\Pi_j(\Gamma_0)$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, does not contains loxodromic elements. Thus each element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has a lift $\tilde{\gamma} \in GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ which is given by:

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 0 & \gamma_{13} \\ 0 & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $|\gamma_{11}| = |\gamma_{22}| = 1$. A straightforward calculation shows that $Eq(\Gamma_0) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \overleftarrow{\xi_1}, \overrightarrow{e_2}$. Thus $Eq(\Gamma) = Eq(\Gamma_0)$. Which is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *If $\Pi_{i_0}(\Gamma_0)$ contains a loxodromic element then $\bigcap_{\tau \in \Pi_{i_0}(\Gamma_0)} Fix(\tau)$ contains a single point.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $i_0 = 2$. Now, if $F_2 = \bigcap_{\tau \in \Pi_2(\Gamma_0)} Fix(\tau)$ contains more than one point, we deduce that $F_2 = \{e_1, z\}$ for some $z \in \ell_2 \setminus \{e_1\}$. By conjugating by a projective transformation, if it

is necessary, we may assume that $z = e_3$. Thus each element $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ has a lift $\tilde{\gamma} \in SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ which is given by:

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $abc = 1$. In consequence ℓ_1 and e_1 are invariant under the action of Γ_0 . By Lemma 1.1, $W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus (\ell_1 \cup \{e_1\})$ is a discontinuity region for Γ_0 which is contained in $Eq(\Gamma_0)$. In consequence $Lin(\Gamma W) < \infty$, which is a contradiction, since $\Gamma W \subset \Gamma(Eq(\Gamma_0) = Eq(\Gamma))$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *The groups $\Pi_1(\Gamma_0)$ and $\Pi_2(\Gamma_0)$ contains loxodromic elements.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, either $\Pi_1(\Gamma_0)$ or $\Pi_2(\Gamma_0)$ contains loxodromic elements. Without loss of generality let us assume that $\Pi_1(\Gamma_0)$ contains a loxodromic element. If $\Pi_2(\Gamma_0)$ does not contains loxodromic elements, every element $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ has a lift $\gamma \in GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ which is given by:

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 0 & \gamma_{13} \\ 0 & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $|\gamma| = 1$. In consequence there are $\gamma, \tau \in \Gamma_0$ such that $\Pi_1(\gamma)$ and $\Pi_1(\tau)$ are loxodromic elements with $Fix(\Pi_1(\gamma)) \neq Fix(\Pi_1(\tau))$, $\Pi_2(\gamma)$ is either parabolic or the identity and $\Pi_2(\tau)$ is either parabolic or the identity. An easy calculation shows $\Pi_1(\tau\gamma\tau^{-1}\gamma^{-1})$ is parabolic and $\Pi_1(\tau\gamma\tau^{-1}\gamma^{-1})$ is the identity. In consequence $\kappa = \tau\gamma\tau^{-1}\gamma^{-1}$ has a lift $\tilde{\kappa} \in SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ given by:

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \kappa_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, let $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0$ be such that $\Pi_1(\gamma_0)$ is a loxodromic element. By conjugating with a projective transformation, if it is necessary, we may assume that $Fix(\Pi_1(\gamma_0)) = \{e_2, e_3\}$. Also, by taking the Inverse of γ , if it is necessary, we may assume that e_2 is an attracting point for $\Pi_1(\gamma_0)$. In consequence, if $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = (\gamma_{ij} \in SL(3, \mathbb{C}))$ is a lift of γ , we conclude that:

$$\tilde{\gamma}^m \tilde{\kappa} \tilde{\gamma}^{-m} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma_{22}^m \kappa_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} Id,$$

which is a contradiction, since Γ is discrete. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *There is an element $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0$ such that $\Pi_1(\gamma_0)$ and $\Pi_2(\gamma_0)$ are loxodromic.*

Proof. If this is not the case, there are $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_0$ such that $\Pi_j(\gamma_k)$ is loxodromic if $j = k$ and either the identity or parabolic in other case. Is straightforward to check that $\Pi_j(\gamma_1\gamma_2)$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, is loxodromic. Which is a contradiction. $\square$

From now on γ_L will denote a fixed element in Γ_0 such that $\Pi_j(\gamma_L)$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, is loxodromic. Also, by conjugating with a projective transformation, if it is necessary, we may assume that γ_L has a lift $\tilde{\gamma}_L = (\gamma_{Li j})$ which is a diagonal matrix.

Lemma 3.5. *There is an element $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ such that $\Pi_1(\tau_0)$ and $\Pi_2(\tau_0)$ are parabolic elements.*

Proof. Let $j \in \{1, 2\}$, then there is an element γ_j such that $\Pi_j(\gamma_j)$ is loxodromic and $\text{Fix}(\Pi_j(\gamma_j)) \neq \text{Fix}(\Pi_j(\gamma_L))$. Set $\kappa_j = \gamma_L \gamma_j \gamma_L^{-1} \gamma_j^{-1}$, then $\Pi_i(\kappa_j)$ is parabolic if $i = j$ and is either the identity or parabolic in other case. Thus the only interesting case is $\Pi_1(\kappa_2) = Id$ and $\Pi_2(\kappa_1) = Id$. But in such case a simple calculation shows that $\Pi_j(\kappa_1 \kappa_2)$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, is parabolic. $\square$

From now on γ_P will denote a fixed element in Γ_0 with a lift (γ_{Pij}) , such that $\Pi_j(\gamma_0)$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, is parabolic.

Lemma 3.6. *If $|\gamma_{L11}| < |\gamma_{L33}|$, then $|\gamma_{L22}| > |\gamma_{L33}|$.*

Proof. On the contrary, let us assume that $|\gamma_{L22}| < |\gamma_{L33}|$. Then a straightforward calculations shows that:

$$\kappa_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \gamma_{L11}^m \gamma_{P13} \gamma_{L33}^{-m} \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma_{L22}^m \gamma_{P23} \gamma_{L33}^{-m} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

is a lift of $\gamma_L^{-m} \gamma_P \gamma_L^m$. And clearly $[\kappa_m] \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} Id$, which is a contradiction, since Γ_0 is discrete. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *The sets $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus Eq(\Pi_1(\Gamma_0))$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus Eq(\Pi_2(\Gamma_0))$ are circles.*

Proof. Since the vertex of $\mathcal{L}$ are e_1, e_2 it follows that

$$\mathcal{C}_j = \Pi_j \left(\bigcup \{ \ell \in Gr_1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) | e_j \in \ell, \ell \subset \Lambda(\Gamma) \} \right),$$

is a closet, infinite and $\Pi_j(\Gamma_0)$ -invariant set. Thus Lemma 1.2 yields the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Up to conjugacy Γ_0 leaves $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^3$ invariant.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5 there is an element $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0$ such that $\Pi_1(\gamma_0)$ and $\Pi_2(\gamma_0)$ are loxodromic elements. Thus after conjugating with a projective transformation, if it is necessary, we may assume that $\text{Fix}(\Pi_1(\gamma_0)) = \{[e_2], [e_3]\}$ and that $\text{Fix}(\Pi_2(\gamma_0)) = \{[e_1], [e_3]\}$. In consequence γ_0 has a lift $\tilde{\gamma}_0 \in SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ given by:

$$\tilde{\gamma}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\gamma_{11} \gamma_{22} \gamma_{33} = 1$. Thus there are $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that:

$$\ell_1 \setminus Eq(\Pi_1(\Gamma_0)) = \{[r\alpha_1 e_2 + s e_3] | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\};$$

$$\ell_2 \setminus Eq(\Pi_2(\Gamma_0)) = [\{r\alpha_2 e_1 + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}].$$

Let $\eta \in PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ be the element induced by the linear map:

$$\tilde{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\Pi_1(\eta^{-1}\Gamma_0\eta)[\{re_2 + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}] = [\{re_2 + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}];$$

$$\Pi_2(\eta^{-1}\Gamma_0\eta)[\{re_1 + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}] = [\{re_1 + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}].$$

In consequence $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ is $\eta^{-1}\Gamma_0\eta$ -invariant. $\square$

From now on we will assume that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ is Γ_0 -invariant. Also let us define:

$$Par(\Gamma_0) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma_0 | \Pi_j(\gamma), j \in \{1, 2\}, \text{ is either parabolic or the identity}\}.$$

Lemma 3.9. *The set $Par(\Gamma_0)$ is a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Clearly $Par(\Gamma_0)$ is a group. Moreover $Par(\Gamma_0)$ can be lifted to a group $\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)} \subset SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ where each element has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Also observe that the group morphism $Lat : \widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $Lat((\gamma_{ij})) = (\gamma_{13}, \gamma_{23})$ enable us to show that $Par(\Gamma_0)$ is isomorphic to a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Thus to get the claim, will be enough to show that there are two elements in $Lat(\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)})$ which are $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent. Clearly

$$\kappa_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \gamma_{L11}\gamma_{P13}\gamma_{L33}^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma_{L22}\gamma_{P23}\gamma_{L33}^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

is a lift in $\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)}$ of $\gamma_L^{-1}\gamma_P\gamma_L$. To conclude observe that the system of linear equations

$$rLa(\kappa_1) + sLa(\gamma_P) = 0$$

has determinant $\gamma_{P23}\gamma_{P13}\gamma_{L33}^{-1}(\gamma_{L11} - \gamma_{L22}) \neq 0$. Which conclude the proof. $\square$

Set

$$\mathbb{R}(\ell_1) = [\{r\alpha_1 e_2 + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}];$$

$$\mathbb{R}(\ell_2) = [\{r\alpha_1 e_1 + se_3 | r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus \{0\}];$$

Proposition 3.10. *The equicontinuity set of Γ is given by:*

$$Eq(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_2}.$$

Proof. Let us set

$$\Omega = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \{1,2\}} \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{R}(\ell_j)} \overleftarrow{e_j}, \overrightarrow{p}.$$

Clearly $\Omega = \bigcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_2}$ and is a Γ_0 -invariant with $LiG(\Omega) = 4$. Thus Theorem 3.5 in [1], yields $\Omega \subset Eq(\Gamma_0)$. On the other hand, let $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $l_j \in Gr_1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ be such that $e_j \in l_j \subset \Lambda(\Gamma)$. Then

$$\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{R}(\ell_j)} \overleftarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{e_j} \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in (\Gamma_0)} \overleftarrow{e_j, \Pi_j(\gamma)(\pi_j(l_j \setminus \{e_j\}))} = \overline{\Gamma l_j}.$$

In consequence $Eq(\Gamma) \subset \Omega$. Finally, since Γ_0 is a subgroup of finite index of Γ , we conclude that $Eq(\Gamma_0) = Eq(\Gamma)$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

In the sequel let $\check{\Gamma} = \bigcap_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} Stab(\mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{\epsilon_2}, \Gamma)$. As an immediate consequence one has:

Lemma 3.11. *The group $\check{\Gamma}$ is a subgroup of Γ of index at most 4, which contains $Par(\Gamma_0)$. Moreover $\check{\Gamma}$ can be lifted to a subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$ where each element has the form:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & c \\ 0 & b & d \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.12. From now on $\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)}$ will denote the lift of $Par(\Gamma_0)$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and $Lat : \widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ will denote the group morphism given by $Lat(\gamma_{ij}) = (\gamma_{13}, \gamma_{23})$.

Corollary 3.13. *For each $j \in \{1, 2\}$, it follows that $\Pi_j(\check{\Gamma})$ does not contains elliptic elements.*

Lemma 3.14. *Let $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\gamma \in \check{\Gamma}$. If $\Pi_j(\gamma)$ is parabolic, then $\Pi_i(\gamma) \neq Id$, where j is the unique element in $\{1, 2\} \setminus \{j\}$.*

Proof. On the contrary let us assume that there $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\gamma \in \check{\Gamma}$ such that $\Pi_j(\gamma)$ is parabolic and $\Pi_i(\gamma) = Id$. Now by taking the inverse of γ_L if it is necessary, we may assume that $|\gamma_{L13}| < 1$. Thus an easy calculation shows:

$$\gamma_L^m \gamma \gamma^{-m} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \gamma_{L13}^m \gamma_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} Id.$$

Which is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 3.15. *Let $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\gamma \in \check{\Gamma}$. If $\Pi_j(\gamma)$ is loxodromic, then $\Pi_i(\gamma)$ is loxodromic, where j is the unique element in $\{1, 2\} \setminus \{j\}$.*

Proof. On the contrary let us assume that there $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $\Pi_j(\gamma)$ is loxodromic and $\Pi_i(\gamma)$ is not loxodromic. Thus $\pi_i(\gamma)$ is either Id or a parabolic element. Set $\tau = \gamma\gamma_P$, then $\pi_j(\tau)$ is loxodromic with $Fix(\Pi_j(\tau)) \neq Fix(\Pi_j(\gamma))$. Thus an easy calculation shows that $\Pi_j(\gamma\tau\gamma^{-1}\tau^{-1})$ is parabolic and $\Pi_i(\gamma\tau\gamma^{-1}\tau^{-1})$ is the identity. Which contradicts Lemma 3.14. $\square$

Definition 3.16. Let $eLat : \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{R}^+)$ given by

$$eLat(\gamma_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 3.17. $eLat$ is a group morphism whose kernel is $\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)}$.

Proposition 3.18. $Lat(\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)})$ is invariant, as set of $\mathbb{R}^2$, under the action of the group $eLat(\tilde{\Gamma})$.

Proof. Let $(a, b) \in Lat(\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)})$ and $(\gamma_{ij}) \in eLat(\tilde{\Gamma})$. Thus there is $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\tau \in \widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)}$ such that $eLat(\gamma) = (\gamma_{ij})$ and $Lat(\tau) = (a, b)$. Thus $\kappa = \gamma\tau\gamma^{-1} \in \widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)}$ and $Lat(\kappa) = (\gamma_{11}a, \gamma_{22}b)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.19. $eLat(\tilde{\Gamma})$ is a commutative discrete group with at most 2 generators.

Proof. Since the map $\rho : eLat(\tilde{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ given by

$$\rho \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = (Log(a), Log(b))$$

is an isomorphism of groups and from the Bieberbach Theorem (see [7]), it will be enough to show $eLat(\tilde{\Gamma})$ is discrete. If this is not the case, then there are sequences of distinct elements $(\alpha_m), (\beta_m) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_m & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_m \end{pmatrix} \in eLat(\tilde{\Gamma})$ and $\alpha_m, \beta_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 1$. Let $\gamma_m = (\gamma_{i,j}^{(m)}) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $eLat(\gamma_m) = (\alpha_m, \beta_m)$. Since $Lat(\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)})$ is a lattice of rank 2, it follows that there is a sequence $(\tau_m) \in Lat(\widetilde{Par(\Gamma_0)})$ such that $(Lat(\tau_m) + (\gamma_{13}, \gamma_{23}))$ is a bounded sequence. Thus we can assume that there are $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(Lat(\tau_m) + (\gamma_{13}^{(m)}, \gamma_{23}^{(m)})) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} (c, d)$. Now a straightforward calculation shows:

$$\gamma_m \tau_m = \begin{pmatrix} eLat(\gamma_m) & Lat(\tau_m) + (\gamma_{13}^{(m)}, \gamma_{23}^{(m)}) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & d \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a contradiction. $\square$

In the sequel σ is a subset of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $Card(\sigma) = rank(eLat(\tilde{\Gamma}))$ and its lift $\tilde{\sigma}$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ satisfies $\langle \tilde{\sigma} \rangle = eLat(\tilde{\gamma})$. Also, take $\tau_0 \in \sigma$ be a fixed element, thus, by conjugating with a projective transformation if it is necessary, we

may assume that τ_0 has a lift $\tilde{\tau} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ which is a diagonal matrix. Finally, let $\gamma, \gamma_2 \in \text{Par}(\Gamma_0)$ be such that $\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle = \text{Par}(\Gamma_0)$.

Lemma 3.20. *It holds that $\langle \sigma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle = \tilde{\Gamma}$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ be a lift. Thus there is $\tau \in \langle \sigma \rangle$ with a lift $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $e\text{Lat}(\tilde{\gamma}) = e\text{Lat}(\tilde{\tau})$. In consequence $\gamma\tau^{-1} \in \text{Par}(\Gamma_0)$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.21. *It is verified that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a hyperbolic toral group.*

Proof. Let $(\widetilde{v_{11}, v_{21}}), (v_{12}, v_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be linearly independent vectors such that $\text{Lat}(\text{Par}(\Gamma_0)) = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$. Also set

$$\hat{T} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}; T = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus an easy calculation shows:

$$T^{-1}\gamma_j T = \begin{pmatrix} I & e_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for each } j \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$T^{-1}hT = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{T}^{-1}h\hat{T} & \nu_h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for each } h \in \tilde{\sigma}$$

where $\nu_h = 0$ if $h = e\text{Lat}(\tilde{\tau}_0)$. Clearly Proposition 3.18, yields that $G = \langle \hat{T}^{-1}h : h \in \tilde{\sigma} \rangle$ is a commutative group, where each element different from the identity is a hyperbolic toral automorphism and whose rank is either 1 or 2. To conclude the proof, let us show the following claim.

Claim 1.- If there is $h \in \tilde{\sigma} \setminus \{\tau\}$, then $e\text{Lat}(\tilde{\tau}_0)(\nu_{e\text{Lat}(h)}) - \nu_{e\text{Lat}(h)} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, where $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\sigma}$ is the lift of h . An easy calculation shows:

$$\tilde{\tau}\tilde{h}\tilde{\tau}^{-1}\tilde{h}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & e\text{Lat}(\tilde{\tau}_0)(\nu_{e\text{Lat}(h)}) - \nu_{e\text{Lat}(h)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Which concludes the proof. $\square$

Now theorem 0.1 follows easily.

REFERENCES

1. W. Barrera, A. Cano, J. P. Navarrete, *The Limit Set For Subgroups of $PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$* , preprint 2009.
2. A. Cano, *On Discrete Subgroups of automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$* , preprint, 2009, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.1336>.
3. A. Cano, J. Seade, J. P. Navarrete, *Complex Kleinian Groups*, preprint, 2009, <http://www.matcuer.unam.mx/~jseade/Libro-Klein.pdf>.
4. A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*. Encyclopedia Ma,h. Appl., Vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
5. R. S. Kulkarni, *Groups with Domains of Discontinuity*, Math. Ann. No 237, pp. 253-272 (1978).
6. B. Maskit, *Kleinian Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
7. J. G. Ratcliffe, *Foundations of Hyperbolic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.

WALDEMAR BARRERA: UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE YUCATÁN FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS,
ANILLO PERIFÉRICO NORTE TABLAJE CAT 13615 CHUBURNÁ HIDALGO, MÉRIDA YU-
CATÁN. MÉXICO., ANGEL CANO: INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA (IMPA),
RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL., JUAN PABLO NAVARRETE: UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE YU-
CATÁN FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, ANILLO PERIFÉRICO NORTE TABLAJE CAT 13615
CHUBURNÁ HIDALGO, MÉRIDA YUCATÁN. MÉXICO.,

E-mail address: `bvargas@uady.mx`, `angel@impa.br`, `jp.navarrete@uady.mx`